Resolving the Dust Bowl paradox of grassland responses to extreme drought
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During the 1930s Dust Bowl drought in the central United States, species with the C3 photosynthetic pathway expanded throughout C4-dominated grasslands. This widespread increase in C3 grasses during a decade of low rainfall and high temperatures is inconsistent with well-known traits of C3 vs. C4 pathways. Indeed, water use efficiency is generally lower, and photosynthesis is more sensitive to high temperatures in C3 than C4 species, consistent with the predominant distribution of C3 grasslands in cooler environments and at higher latitudes globally. We experimentally imposed extreme drought for 4 y in mixed C3/C4 grasslands in Kansas and Wyoming and, similar to Dust Bowl observations, also documented three- to fivefold increases in C3/C4 biomass ratios. To explain these paradoxical responses, we first analyzed long-term climate records to show that under nominal conditions in the central United States, C4 grasses dominate where precipitation and air temperature are strongly related (warmest months are wettest months). In contrast, C3 grasses flourish where precipitation inputs are less strongly coupled to warm temperatures. We then show that during extreme drought years, precipitation–temperature relationships weaken, and the proportion of precipitation falling during cooler months increases. This shift in precipitation seasonality provides a mechanism for C3 grasses to respond positively to multiyear drought, resolving the Dust Bowl paradox. Grasslands are globally important biomes and increasingly vulnerable to direct effects of climate extremes. Our findings highlight how extreme drought can indirectly alter precipitation seasonality and shift ecosystem phenology, affecting function in ways not predictable from key traits of C3 and C4 species.
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The iconic “Dust Bowl” drought of the 1930s is widely regarded as one of the most important environmental, economic, and social disasters to befall the United States in the 20th century (1–4). While the climatic causes, human toll, and resulting changes in agricultural practices have received much attention (2, 3, 5), there is renewed interest in understanding the causes and consequences of the Dust Bowl and the lessons that can be learned from this period of extreme drought (4).

Ecologists in the 1930s provided a rich detailed account of how native North American grasslands responded to the Dust Bowl drought (11–13). While many of their observations were unsurprising (i.e., rapid reductions in plant cover and biomass, 13), a striking transformation of central Great Plains grasslands was documented as the drought progressed. By the third year of drought, a number of C3 species, most notably Pascopyron smithii, a grass species more abundant in northern, cooler regions of the Great Plains (14), increased dramatically in many grasslands formerly dominated by C4 grasses. In some cases, this C3 grass completely displaced the dominant C4 grasses (11, 12). Because the C4 photosynthetic pathway had yet to be discovered at this time, and traits associated with C3 and C4 pathways were not yet understood, the expansion of this C3 grass during drought was assumed to be due to its superior drought tolerance relative to the formerly dominant species (11, 14). Today, with our contemporary understanding of the evolution and ecology of C3 vs. C4 photosynthetic pathways, such a widespread increase in C3 grasses during hot, dry periods is a challenge to reconcile. Indeed, research conducted subsequent to the Dust Bowl has shown that P. smithii grows best at cool temperatures, has lower water use efficiency, and has higher soil moisture requirements than cooccurring C4 grasses (15). These traits are consistent with the broader C3/C4 paradigm of C3 photosynthesis initially evolving in response to low CO2 (16–18) but spreading widely in part due to the capacity of C3 plants, particularly grasses, to
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better cope with high temperatures and water deficits relative to most C3 species (19-22). Although C3 grasses do occur in warm, arid climates, it is well-established that C4 plants have inherently higher water use efficiency than C3 plants and that net carbon gain is reduced more at high temperatures in C3 than in C4 plants (23, 24). Consistent with these traits and their phylogenetic history, C4 species generally dominate low-latitude, warmer grasslands, while C3 grasses increase in abundance and dominance at higher latitudes and elevation in North America and globally (20, 25-27). Thus, the widespread increase in C3 grass abundance and the decline in C4 grasses during this historically hot and dry North American drought would not be predicted based upon today’s understanding of the traits of these species. As a result, what was initially documented almost a century ago as an extensive shift in species abundances, encompassing an ~350,000 km² region from South Dakota to Kansas (6, 7), represents a paradox today.

Here, we report results from a 4-y drought experiment (Methods) conducted in two native grasslands with a mix of C3 and C4 species. These grasslands were selected because their plant communities included P. smithii, the C3 grass that increased most notably during the Dust Bowl drought (11, 12). Further, they differed in C3/C4 dominance with the more C3-dominated grassland (~70% C3 biomass near Cheyenne, WY) located at the edge of the most severe extent of the Dust Bowl drought, and a more C4-dominated grassland (~70% C4 biomass near Hays, KS) located centrally within the Dust Bowl footprint (Fig. 1A). The experimental droughts, imposed by decreasing annual precipitation amounts by ~50% over 4 y (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1), allowed us to replicate a key aspect of the Dust Bowl drought—sustained multiyear rainfall deficits—and independently corroborate responses observed during the 1930s. We resolve this paradoxical shift in C3 vs. C4 abundance in response to extended extreme drought by integrating our experimental results with analyses of long-term climate records from across the central United States, focusing on those years with the greatest (most extreme) precipitation anomalies. This has allowed us to identify a mechanism that can explain the expansion of C3 grasses into C4 grasslands during multyear extreme droughts and provide insight into how these globally important biomes might respond in the future.

**Results and Discussion**

Soil moisture levels were consistently reduced in both grasslands during the 4-y experimental drought (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). In response, total aboveground net primary production (ANPP) for the C4 grassland was reduced by >40% (Table 1 and Fig. 2). In contrast, the C3 grassland was much less sensitive to reduced growing season precipitation, consistent with previous studies (29-32). In both grasslands, however, drought significantly increased the ratio of C3 to C4 grass biomass (Table 1 and Fig. 2), as observed during the Dust Bowl. In the C3-dominated grassland, the increase in C3/C4 ratio was driven primarily by a 40% reduction in the contribution of C4 grasses to ANPP in droughted plots (Fig. 2). However, in the C4 grassland, significant reductions in the proportion of C4 biomass were accompanied by equivalent increases in C3 grass biomass (Fig. 2). Thus, as observed during the Dust Bowl in Kansas, Nebraska, and Iowa (11, 12), C3 grasses increased from being a minor proportion of ANPP initially to equaling or even exceeding C4 ANPP.

There are many aspects of the Dust Bowl drought that our experiment did not replicate (see below), but the drought treatments we imposed did approximate the seasonal pattern of precipitation anomalies during the Dust Bowl. In our experiment, the
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**Fig. 1.** (A) Extent of the Dust Bowl drought of the 1930s. Data from ref. 1. Black solid line encloses the region with greatest daily precipitation anomalies (>3 mm/day), dashed line denotes anomalies > 2 mm/day, and yellow shaded area denotes >1 mm/day (values averaged from 1932 to 1938). White dots denote approximate locations of experimental droughts imposed near Hays, KS, and Cheyenne, WY. Black dots indicate native grassland sites observed to undergo decreases in C4 grasses during the 1930s (sites west of Hays) or increases in C3 and decreases in C4 grasses (east of Hays, 6-7, 11-12). Also shown on this map is the proportional distribution of C3 vs. C4 biomass based on empirical data and statistical models for North America (28). C3 Dominant = >60% C3 biomass; C3 Mixed = 50-60% C4 biomass; C4 Mixed = 50-60% C4 biomass; C4 Dominant = >60% C4 biomass. (Inset photo) Experimental drought plot, Hays, KS, July 2015, during the second year of experimental drought. (B) Mean annual precipitation for the C3 (Cheyenne) and C4 (Hays) grassland sites for 1900-2015 (long-term mean), the four driest Dust Bowl years, and the ambient and 4-y drought treatments imposed from 2014 to 2017 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Long-term mean annual precipitation and Dust bowl precipitation are also shown for the region enclosed by the solid line (= Dust bowl region). (C) Mean daily maximum air temperature during April-August for the same time periods and locations as in B. Note that ambient and drought treatments in the experiment experienced identical air temperatures. Climatic data are from NOAA (www.ncdc.noaa.gov). Means and +1 SEs are reported in B and C.
largest reductions in precipitation occurred during the summer (June–July–August), with spring (March–April–May) precipitation much less reduced (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). This is consistent with patterns observed during the 1930s drought (1). Also consistent with the Dust Bowl, P. smithii was the C3 grass that increased the most in the C4-dominated grassland (predrought P. smithii = 7.9 ± 1.02 g/m² [~2% of total ANPP] vs. 40.4 ± 5.21 g/m² [~23% of ANPP] in years 3 and 4 of the experimental drought). This represents a fivefold increase in ANPP of this C3 grass during the experiment. Consequently, experimental drought transformed this Kansas grassland from C4 dominance to C3/C4 codominance within 3 y (Fig. 2).

The Primacy of Water Stress during Extreme Drought. The extreme drought we imposed did not include high air temperatures, which typically occur during extreme drought (33), overgrazing, or dust deposition; all notable attributes of the Dust Bowl drought (Fig. 1C and 6, 7). Of these, burial of plant communities by dust was most often invoked by ecologists in the 1930s as a key mortality mechanism that allowed other species to increase (11–13). However, while dust burial may have facilitated species turnover, our experiment shows that neither burial nor high temperatures were necessary for shifts in C3/C4 dominance to occur. In fact, the C3 species that increased most in response to both the Dust Bowl and our experimental drought, P. smithii, dominates grasslands in the cooler regions of the northern Great Plains and is from the temperature-sensitive C3-BEP Poaceae clade (26). This species would not be expected to increase in abundance with increased temperatures. While our results suggest that high temperatures did not play a direct role in these drought responses, consistent with previous experiments that manipulated temperature and precipitation independently (34), increased air temperatures during drought may affect grasslands indirectly, by exacerbating water stress via increased evaporative demand. We estimated potential evapotranspiration (PET) during the four driest Dust Bowl years (Methods), and, as expected, PET was higher in the 1930s than during our experiment (1,274 vs. 1,195 mm/y, respectively, in the C3 Wyoming grassland; 1,798 vs. 1,614 mm/y in the C4 Kansas grassland). However, by experimentally reducing precipitation (P) inputs to a greater extent than occurred during the Dust Bowl (Fig. 1), we were able to impose potential water deficits (PET-P) more similar to those during the Dust Bowl (PET-P for experimental drought = 1,046 and 1,311 mm/y in the C3 and C4 grassland, respectively, vs. Dust Bowl PET-P = 1,012 and 1,390 mm/y, respectively).

The Importance of Precipitation Seasonality. Temperature is most often invoked as the primary environmental determinant of the relative abundance of C3 vs. C4 species in grasslands globally.
(19). But metrics describing the seasonal distribution of precipitation (e.g., warm vs. cool season precipitation) are often included in statistical and process-based models to more successfully predict regional and global distributions of these photosynthetic pathways (26, 28, 35). Simply put, these models constrain C3 grasses to regions where precipitation inputs occur predominately during warm months, while C4 grasses increase in dominance as the proportion of cool season precipitation increases. Winter-rainfall Mediterranean grasslands exemplify the relationship between cool season precipitation regimes and C3 dominance (27, 36, 37). We evaluated relationships between mean monthly air temperature (T) and precipitation (P) using PRISM historical climate data from 1895 to 2017 (Methods) for central US grasslands differing in C3/C4 abundance. We used the slope of this relationship (P-T, mm/°C) as an indicator of precipitation seasonality. A strong positive slope indicates a large proportion of precipitation falling in the warmer months (expected to favor C4 grasses, 26, 28), and a less positive slope reflects a more equitable distribution of precipitation seasonally, with a greater proportion falling in cooler months (favoring C3 species, 28). The value of this metric is evident from the twofold greater P-T slope for the C4-dominated grassland relative to the C3-dominated site (Fig. 3).

Precipitation Seasonality and Extreme Drought. Many precipitation attributes, besides total amount, differ markedly between species by providing access to key resources (soil moisture and nutrients). Because our experimental drought primarily impacted rainfall inputs during the warmest months, reducing summer rainfall dominance (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and Methods), we tested the hypothesis that during extreme drought years, the seasonal distribution of precipitation in the central United States would also shift from strong summer dominance to a pattern more favorable for C3 grasses. We first tested this hypothesis in the C3 and C4 grassland sites in Kansas and Wyoming where experimental drought was imposed. In both grasslands, the P-T slope was reduced during years with extreme negative precipitation anomalies compared to average years (Fig. 3A). As a result, summer season dominance of precipitation was reduced, and the proportion of precipitation falling during cooler months increased (Fig. 3A, Insets). As expected, a shift in seasonality was also evident when we compared the P-T slope for the ambient vs. extreme drought treatments during the 4-yr experimental period (Fig. 3A). This confirms that during our experimental drought, and in years with extreme precipitation anomalies, seasonal patterns of precipitation inputs were altered similarly; in both cases the proportion of precipitation during the cooler months increased. Finally, we compared relationships between temperature and precipitation for central US grasslands more broadly for 1) all years in the historical PRISM database, 2) the driest Dust Bowl years, and 3) the years with the most extreme precipitation anomalies (Fig. 3B). In all cases, extreme drought reduced the slope of the P-T relationship, decreasing summer rainfall dominance and increasing the equitability of monthly precipitation inputs across these grasslands (Fig. 3B).

We posit that a shift in precipitation seasonality during extreme drought provides the most plausible mechanism for the unexpected increase in abundance of C3 grasses under conditions expected to be better suited for C4 species. This was observed in our 4-yr experiment, during the decadal-scale Dust Bowl drought, and during extended periods of drought during the middle Holocene (41). This increase in C3 abundance (primarily P. smithii) in our experiment but including other C3 species during the Dust Bowl, 6, 7) occurred even though there was a slight decrease in precipitation during the spring months (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and see also ref. 1). This suggests that the negative impact of summer precipitation deficits on the C4 grasses, which included substantial mortality during the Dust Bowl (11, 13), may benefit C3 species by providing access to key resources (soil moisture and nutrients).
nutrients) not consumed by the C4 grasses. Consistent with this scenario, note that the C3/C4 ratio at the C3-dominated site also increased moderately in control plots exposed to ambient precipitation during our experiment (Fig. 2). At this site, there was a 4-y drying trend driven by a significant reduction in summer precipitation from year 1 to 4 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). This response is also consistent with a shift in precipitation seasonality negatively affecting C4 species and subsequently increasing the dominance of the C3 grasses. However, in the C3-dominated grassland, a prominent increase in the C3/C4 ratio in year 3 of the experiment occurred in an anomalously wet year (~30% above Mean Annual Precipitation, MAP) when April and May rainfall was >120 mm greater than in any other year of the experiment. This indicates that these C3 species can respond directly to increases in cool season precipitation, as well as indirectly to reductions in C4 grasses caused by summer drought.

A Shifting C3–C4 Paradigm. In the past decades, much research has challenged generalizations regarding how photosynthetic type has influenced the past and present distribution and ecology of C3 and C4 grasses, leading to a more nuanced understanding of the key roles of, for example, disturbance regime, phylogenetic history, and other traits not specific to photosynthetic type (42, 43). For example, tolerance of water stress can in some cases be greater in C3 than C4 species (44). Indeed, when comparing traits of the North American C3 vs. C4 grasses in the present study, some differences are consistent with past generalizations (lower leaf N in the C4 grasses), while traits associated with drought tolerance (leaf turgor loss point) did not differ (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 and Table S1 and 45). This latter result, in particular, is consistent with the importance of precipitation seasonality driving shifts in species abundances during extreme drought, not differences in drought tolerance as previously assumed (11, 14). Similarly, recent analyses suggest that increases in warm-season precipitation, not warming or CO2 levels, may have driven the late Neogene expansion of C4 grasses in North America (46). Finally, although results from the 4-y experiment were consistent with responses to a decadal drought, time scales of responses for ecosystems with both C3 and C4 grasses can require more than a decade (47). Indeed, our results add to previous short- and long-term global change experiments reporting unexpected responses of various species to changing one or more of the environmental gradients. For example, elevated CO2 and warming may increase productivity of C4 grasses more than C3 grasses, due to reduced summer water limitations (48). Whether elevated CO2 levels can offset the effects of more frequent and extreme droughts forecasted for grasslands remains to be evaluated.

Implications. Extreme, multiyear droughts are expected to increase in frequency in the 21st century (9, 10), and our results suggest that these droughts have the potential to decrease C4 grassland cover and initiate widespread increases in C3 grass abundance across the central United States. Sea surface temperature anomalies have been linked to land surface drought in general (10, 49), and, specific to the Dust Bowl, these anomalies can disproportionately reduce summer precipitation, with lesser effects on spring precipitation and even slight increases in winter precipitation (1). Thus, predicted increases in sea surface temperature anomalies will result in both precipitation reductions and changes in seasonality that differentially impact C4 grasses. After the Dust Bowl, 20 y were required for the C4 grasses that expanded into C3-dominated grasslands to return to predrought abundances (7, 50). This prolonged postdrought recovery is consistent with the importance of strong priority effects evident in grasslands once dominance is achieved (43). It is not possible to know if future drought-induced shifts in C3–C4 abundances will be transient or more permanent (41). But based on differences in how C3 vs. C4 species respond to light, temperature, CO2, and nitrogen (37, 47), combined with differences in physiology (green-up to senescence occurs earlier in C3 grasslands), important alterations in land–atmosphere exchanges of carbon, water, and energy can be expected when C3 species replace C4 grasses (51). Of particular importance, C3 plant canopies generally partition more net radiation to latent heat than C4 grasslands, increasing early season evapotranspiration and potentially depleting soil water that would otherwise be available for use by C4 grasses in the summer (52). In this way, drought-induced expansion of C3 grasses may exacerbate summer soil water stress, negatively impacting C4 grasses and further reinforcing C3 dominance. Limited observations of soil moisture dynamics during the Dust Bowl drought support this scenario (53). Moreover, an increase in sensible heat in the warmest months due to senescence of C3 canopies (and loss of C4 plant cover) can amplify surface temperatures, resulting in heat waves and further reducing precipitation (2). Compound climate extremes, those that include multiple stressors such as heat waves and drought, often have disproportionate impacts on humans and ecosystems (33).

In summary, we have experimentally corroborated and resolved the Dust Bowl paradox by showing that extreme drought leads to a more equitable seasonal distribution of precipitation, aligning this limiting resource more closely with the seasonal growth period of C3 grasses. This previously unrecognized shift in seasonal precipitation patterns provides a mechanism for C3 species to increase during multiyear extreme drought and replace C4 species as dominants in grasslands. Such a transformation will have significant impacts on ecosystem phenotype. Changes in land surface phenology, as well as earlier spring greening, are considered fingerprints of climate warming for terrestrial ecosystems (54–56). Drought-induced shifts to dominance by earlier-growing C3 species may advance spring green-up independent of warming. Indeed, spring green-up and peak greenness can differ by 30 d between cooccurring C3- vs. C4-dominated grasslands (57). This far exceeds the magnitude of warming-driven advances in phenology for much of the world (58). More broadly, C4 grass-dominant ecosystems house substantial biodiversity, C4 grass species account for almost 25% of terrestrial net primary productivity, and because C4 grasslands are among the most sensitive ecosystems to precipitation change (59), they interact strongly with the land carbon sink (19, 60–62). Thus, predicting how the distribution and abundance of C4 grasses and grasslands may be altered by global change drivers has economic and ecological value of global importance (63, 64). While altered precipitation seasonality during extreme drought may reduce C4 grass dominance, there is also emerging evidence that, independent of drought, seasonal precipitation patterns are being altered with climate change, including a dampening of seasonality (65–67). The net effect that these and other global change drivers will have on the extent of C3 and C4 grasslands over the next century remains to be resolved.

Methods

Field Sites and Experiments. Field experiments were conducted concurrently in two grasslands chosen for their contrasting mixtures of C3 and C4 grasses. The mixed-grass prairie near Hays, KS, is a C4-dominated native grassland (73.1% C4 based on ANPP measurements from control plots during the pretreatment and four experimental years) located within the epicenter of the 1930s Dust Bowl drought and where drought-induced increases in C4 grasses were documented historically (Fig. 1 and (11, 12)). The grassland near Cheyenne, WY, is a C3-dominated grassland (69.8% C3), located at the periphery of the most severe extent of the Dust Bowl drought. In addition to their geographic locations at the edge and center of Dust Bowl drought, these sites were chosen because P. smithii (the C4 grass that increased extensively during the Dust Bowl (11, 12)) was present at both sites; as a dominant species at the C3 site and as a widespread, moderately abundant member of the plant community in the C4 grassland. Both grasslands were lightly grazed prior to a pretreatment sampling year (2013) and were protected from domestic grazers during the subsequent 4-y experimental
Drought was experimentally imposed at each site for 4 y using large rainfall exclusion shelters (Fig. 1A and 68). At each site, 30 plots, each 36 m², were established across a topographically uniform area. Each plot was hydrologically isolated from the surrounding soil using aluminum flashing to a depth of 20 cm and 6-mil plastic barriers installed to a depth of 50 cm. Drought was imposed in 20 plots per site by installing large shelters (10 × 10 m) that were used to block 65–80% of incoming rainfall during each growing season—this is roughly equivalent to a 50% reduction in annual precipitation given that 60–75% of MAP falls during the growing season in these ecosystems. The remaining 10 plots per site were trenched and hydrologically isolated as well, serving as controls that received ambient rainfall. Drought was imposed by reducing the same quantity of growing season rainfall inputs in two different ways. We removed a portion (66%) of each rain event (68) during the entire growing season (April–August; chronic drought treatment, n = 10 plots/site) or we fully excluded all rain events for a shorter portion of the growing season (May through mid-August; intense drought treatment, n = 10 plots/site). Soil moisture was measured in the center of each plot at a depth of 0–15 cm and 0–30 cm with 30-cm time-domain reflectometry probes (Model C5616, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) inserted at a 45° angle from the soil surface. Responses in leaf-soil moisture and ratios of C3 vs. C4 ANPP to each type of drought were consistent (SI Appendix, Figs. 55 and 56), thus results were combined into a single drought treatment. Treatment infrastructure was installed in the spring of 2012, but drought treatments did not begin until 2014 because 2012 was a natural extreme drought year and not appropriate as a pretreatment year. 2012, but drought treatments did not begin until 2014 because 2012 was a natural extreme drought year and not appropriate as a pretreatment year. Rain gauges were established in a subset of control and treatment plots to measure the 1930s Dust Bowl. Although most grasslands are naturally grazed by native or domesticated large herbivores, including their activities as part of this experiment was not feasible.

Drought was imposed in 20 plots per site by installing large shelters (10 × 10 m) that were used to block 65–80% of incoming rainfall during each growing season. The remaining 10 plots per site were trenched and hydrologically isolated as well, serving as controls that received ambient rainfall. Rain gauges were established in a subset of control and treatment plots to measure rainfall inputs in two different ways. We removed a portion (66%) of each rain event (68) during the entire growing season (April–August; chronic drought treatment, n = 10 plots/site) or we fully excluded all rain events for a shorter portion of the growing season (May through mid-August; intense drought treatment, n = 10 plots/site). Soil moisture was measured in the center of each plot at a depth of 0–15 cm and 0–30 cm with 30-cm time-domain reflectometry probes (Model C5616, Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) inserted at a 45° angle from the soil surface. Responses in leaf-soil moisture and ratios of C3 vs. C4 ANPP to each type of drought were consistent (SI Appendix, Figs. 55 and 56), thus results were combined into a single drought treatment. Treatment infrastructure was installed in the spring of 2012, but drought treatments did not begin until 2014 because 2012 was a natural extreme drought year and not appropriate as a pretreatment year. Rain gauges were established in a subset of control and treatment plots to measure the 1930s Dust Bowl. Although most grasslands are naturally grazed by native or domesticated large herbivores, including their activities as part of this experiment was not feasible.

At the end of each growing season, all aboveground biomass was harvested in three quadrats (0.1 m²) placed randomly in new locations each year in two 2 × 2 m subplots designed for destructive measurements. A different subplot was harvested each year. Biomass was sorted to remove the previous year’s growth, dried for 48 h at 60 °C, and weighed to estimate total ANPP, as well as ANPP of dominant plant growth forms (C4 grasses, C3 grasses, and C3 forbs). Subshrubs and succulents, comprising < 5% of ANPP at both sites, were excluded from calculations due to measurement difficulties, but C3 sedges, as graminoids, were included in the C3 grass category.

Analyses of Long-Term Climate Data. For the two grassland sites where drought experiments were located, we retrieved precipitation and temperature data (1900–2015) from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Climate Data Center (www.ncdc.noaa.gov): NOAA station COOP ID numbers 481675 for Cheyenne, WY, and 143527 for Hays, KS. These weather stations were within 5 and 25 km, respectively, of the experimental sites. To assess the relationships between monthly temperature and precipitation at regional scales, and for grasslands that differed in C4/C3 composition, we downloaded PRISM Climate Data (https://prism.oregonstate.edu) with a 4 × 4 km spatial resolution for the period 1895–2017 (123 y). We used simple linear regression to calculate the slope of monthly temperature and precipitation relationship for each grid cell (total = 132,541) and mapped those grid cells to the C4/C3 grassland categories in Fig. 1. The number of grid cells in each grassland category were: C4 Dominated = 43,676, C3 Mixed = 17,961, C4 Mixed = 39,112, C3 Dominated = 31,792. We confirmed that reduced P–T slopes also corresponded to reduced ratios of warmcool season precipitation (see insets in Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). PRISM Climate Data were also used to calculate potential evapotranspiration based on the Hamon method (71).

To compare the 4-y experimental drought period with equivalent durations of Dust Bowl drought and the most extreme drought years in the historical record, we first calculated the annual precipitation for each of the 123 y for all grid cells. We then chose the 4 y with lowest average annual precipitation during this period—these were 1910, 1934, 1936, and 1956. Similarly, the four driest years during the Dust Bowl decade (1930–1940) were 1933, 1934, 1936, and 1939. Our goal was to document how precipitation seasonality is altered in the most extreme dry years on record, defined statistically as below the fifth percentile for all years. These 4 y met that criterion, but we also assessed seasonality for the 10 driest years and observed similar patterns (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). We compared these temperature–precipitation relationships to those calculated from all years (long-term mean) as well as for only those years with annual precipitation within 20% of mean annual precipitation. These latter two relationships did not differ (SI Appendix, Fig. S7).

Statistical Analyses. Responses to experimental treatments were assessed with repeated measures analysis of variance with year and drought as main effects. One-sample t tests were used to detect divergence of control/drought plot ratios from unity for C3 and C4 ANPP. Simple linear regression analyses were used to calculate the slope of monthly temperature and precipitation for each grid cell, with monthly precipitation amount as the dependent variable and monthly mean temperature as the independent variable.

Data Availability. Data from the field experiments are available via Dryad (https://datadryad.org/stash, doi:10.5066/dryad.j39k5d1v). All climate data are publicly available at NOAA’s National Climate Data Center (www.ncdc.noaa.gov) and the PRISM Climate Data website (www.prism.oregonstate.edu).
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